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The known photochemistry of anthraquinone is largely restricted to hydrogen-abstraction reactions ${ }^{(1)}$. In view of the frequent use of this compound as a photosensitiser, we wish to report that it undergoes certain photoaddition reactions.

A stirred solution/suspension of anthraquinone ( 1.0 g .) in a solution of diphenylacetylene ( 2 g .) in benzene ( 250 ml .) was irradiated at $20-25^{\circ}$ for 20 hr in a water-cooled borosilicate apparatus with four 150-w. tungsten lamps. The bright-yellow adduct (I), m.p. $197-198^{\circ}$, was isolated by fractional crystallisation in $40 \%$ yield: much of the starting material remained and the true yield was almost quantitative. [Found: C, 87.00; H, 4.60. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 87.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.70 \%$.] The ultraviolet spectrum in ethanol had $\lambda_{\text {max. }}$ ( $\epsilon$ ) $233 \mathrm{~m} \mu(38,800), 253 \mathrm{~m} \mu(29,800), 275 \mathrm{~m} \mu(21,500)$, and $357 \mathrm{~m} \mu$ $(9,380)$ : the infrared spectrum showed principal absorptions at $1655(\mathrm{~s}), 1600(\mathrm{~m}), 1310(\mathrm{~m}), 1250(\mathrm{~m})$, $1212(\mathrm{w}), 1168(\mathrm{w}), 940(\mathrm{~m}), 845(\mathrm{w}), 782(\mathrm{~m}), 761(\mathrm{~m}), 725(\mathrm{w})$, and $698(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{r}$. spectrum showed only aromatic protons as three complex multiplets between 1.6 and $3.0 \tau$, thereby excluding structures which would have resulted from additions to a benzenoid ring: the ultraviolet absorption excludes the oxeten structure (II). The assigned quinomethane structure (I) is wholly consistent with the spectroscopic data, and has preccdents in the known modes of addition of diphenylacetylene to ketones ${ }^{(2)}$ and p-benzoquinone ${ }^{(3)}$. The above u.v. data differ completely from results recently given by Hensen et al. for a supposed adduct of structure (I) (not isolated) thought to be formed by irradiation of oxygenated solutions of anthraquinone and diphenylacetylene in hexane ${ }^{(4)}$.
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Irradiation as above of a stirred solution/suspension of anthraquinone ( 0.5 g .) in cis-cyclo-octene ( 250 ml .) led to the formation of two major products, (III) and (IV). These structural assignments are deduced from the following results. Compound (IV), a $2: 1$ adduct, was shown to be formed by photoaddition of cyclo-octene to the $1: 1$ adduct (III). The relative yields of adducts (III) and (IV) were timedependent. Thus after 12 hr . irradiation, $50 \%$ conversion of anthraquinone had occurred to give $40 \%$ of (III) and $10 \%$ of (IV), whereas after 60 hr . (IV) was obtained in $90 \%$ yield, and (III) was not detected.

Adduct (III) was a white crystalline solid, m.p. 147-148 ${ }^{\circ}$. The ultraviolet spectrum in ethanol had $\lambda_{\text {max. }}(\epsilon), 278 \mathrm{~m} \mu(13,780), 309 \mathrm{~m} \mu(4,152), 355 \mathrm{~m} \mu(194)$ and $367 \mathrm{~m} \mu$ (124), and the infrared spectrum had significant absorptions at $1670(\mathrm{~s}), 1604(\mathrm{~s}), 1323(\mathrm{~s}), 1300(\mathrm{~s}), 1180(\mathrm{~m}), 1028(\mathrm{~m}), 986(\mathrm{~s})^{\dagger}$, $942(\mathrm{~m}), 824(\mathrm{w})$ and $768(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The n.m.r. spectrum ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) had complex absorptions centred at $\tau 2.15,4.90$ and $6.90 \rightarrow 9.60$ with relative areas $8: 1: 13$ (compare ref. 5).

The 2:1 adduct of cyclo-octene and anthraquinone (IV) was a white crystalline solid m.p. 215 $217^{\circ}$. Found: $M$ (mass spectrograph) 428. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2}: M, 428$. The ultraviolet spectrum

[^0]in dioxan had $\lambda_{\max .}(\epsilon) 259 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{\mu}$ (460), $266 \mathrm{~m} \mu$ (575), and $275 \mathrm{~m} \mu$ (418) showing an absence of conjugation between the aromatic rings: the absence of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups was shown by the infrared spectrum which had $\nu_{\text {max. }}$ (Nujol) at $1300(\mathrm{~m}), 1280(\mathrm{~m}), 1220(\mathrm{~m}), 1135(\mathrm{w}), 1090(\mathrm{w})$, $1060(\mathrm{w}), 1025(\mathrm{~m}), 970(\mathrm{~s})^{\star}, 880(\mathrm{w})$, and $760(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The n.m.r. spectrum ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) had complex absorptions centred at $\tau 1.90,2.50,4.90$ and $6.80 \rightarrow 9.50$ with relative areas 2:2:1:13.

The formation of adduct (IV) represents the first photoaddition to both carbonyl groups of a quinone: neither p -benzoquinone nor 1,4-naphtnoquinone appears able to photoadd more than one molecule of an olefin even when the latter is used in great excess.

In contrast, photoaddition of cyclo-octa-1,3-diene to anthraquinone led to a single adduct (V) in almost quantitative yield. The spectral and analytical data of this adduct were consistent with its assigned structure. Catalytic hydrogenation of adduct (V) with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in AcOH gave compound (III) (infrared spectrum, m.p., and mixed m.p.). No 2:1 adduct was detected.

In contrast with cyclo-octa-1,3-diene, buta-1,3-diene and 2,3-dimethylbutadiene showed no tendency to form photoadducts with anthraquinone, although they readily add to p-benzoquinone and other 1,4-quinones, usually to form dihydropyrans ${ }^{(6)}$. Consideration of the following triplet energy levels permits an understanding of these facts: p -benzoquinone, 50 ; anthraquinone, 62.5 ; buta-1, 3-diene, -60 ; cyclo-octa-1, 3-diene, $70-72 \mathrm{kcal}$. mole ${ }^{-1}$. (The usually high triplet energy level of cyclo-octa-1,3-diene undoubtedly reflects the sterically enforced skew conformation of its diene system.) It can be seen that triplet p-benzoquinone cannot be quenched by buta-1,3-diene or cyclo-octa-1, 3-diene, and is therefore open to addition reactions with such dienes ${ }^{(6)}$, and also with mono-olefins (triplet energies ca. 80 kcal . mole ${ }^{-1}$ ) ${ }^{(5)}$. On the other hand, triplet anthraquinone will be quenched by buta-1,3-diene and other 1,3-dienes capable of adopting a planar conformation, but not by cyclo-octa-1,3-diene: hence the planar 1,3-dienes should not, and do not, photoadd to anthraquinone. Anthraquinone is indeed known to photosensitise the dimerisation of buta-1,3-diene ${ }^{(7)}$; but the cited

[^1]triplet energy levels show that anthraquinone should not photosensitise the dimerisation of cyclo-octa-1,3-diene. In fact, small amounts of a mixture of dimers of cyclo-octa-1,3-diene were formed ${ }^{(8)}$. This can reasonably be attributed to photosensitisation by the $1: 1$ photoadduct (V), which by analogy with benzophenones might well have a triplet energy around 70 kcal . mole ${ }^{-1}$. Such quenching of triplet (V) by the diene accounts nicely (a) for the inability of cyclo-octa-1,3-diene to form a $2: 1$ adduct analogous to that [compound (IV)] formed by cyclo-octene, and (b) for the observed formation of photodimers from cyclo-octa-1,3-diene but not cyclo-octene. ${ }^{\text {(9) }}$
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[^0]:    * The stereochemistry has not yet been ascertained.
    $\dagger$ Oxetan ring .

[^1]:    * Oxetan ring

